



INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS IN SOCIAL SCIENCES

Peer Review, Refereed, Biannual, Multiple Language (Hindi & English), Social Science Journal, Open Access Journal

ISSN: 3107-5096(ONLINE)

VOL. 2, ISSUE 1 (SPECIAL EDITION)2026

Maharana Pratap and the Making of a Nationalist Icon

<p>Dr. Rajendra Kumar M.A., M.Phil., NET, Ph.D. LLB, LLM Professor, Faculty of Legal Studies HRIT University, Ghaziabad</p>	<p>Citation: Kumar, R. (2025). Maharana Pratap and the Making of a Nationalist Icon. INNOVATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS IN SOCIAL SCIENCES, 2(1), 40–44. Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17962339</p>
--	--

Abstract

Maharana Pratap (1540–1597), ruler of Mewar, has been repeatedly reworked from a regional Rajput chieftain into a modern emblem of resistance and honour. From bardic chronicles to colonial historians, from nationalist intellectuals to contemporary political actors, Pratap's image has been mobilized for varying claims about identity, sovereignty, and nationhood. This paper traces the transformation of Maharana Pratap across three registers: (1) early modern memory and local lore; (2) colonial and nationalist appropriations; and (3) post-independence popular culture, political symbolism and pedagogy. Using a combination of historiographic review, cultural analysis, and three detailed case studies (statues and monumental projects, textbook narratives, and film and media), the paper argues that Pratap's nationalist resonance is socially produced through selective historical appropriation, institutional endorsement, and affective visual culture. The analysis underscores how usable pasts are manufactured: not simply "rediscovered," but often constructed to meet contemporary political and identity needs. The paper concludes by reflecting on the ethical and civic implications of turning contested historical actors into unambiguous national heroes.

Keywords: Nationalism, Maharana Pratap, culture, sovereignty, nationhood

Introduction

History rarely stays put. Figures who lived in one political world can, by the needs of later publics, be transformed into icons for another. Maharana Pratap — a sixteenth-century Sisodia ruler of Mewar who resisted Mughal pressure — is exemplary of this process. In his lifetime he was a regional king defending dynastic autonomy; in later centuries, he has been redeployed in narratives that range from

Rajput pride to pan-Indian nationalist heroism. Understanding how this transformation unfolded helps us see how history becomes political capital and how collective memories are manufactured for particular social purposes.

This paper asks: **How and why has Maharana Pratap been made into a figure that helps popularize nationalism in modern India?** Answering this requires attention to

multiple moments and media: court chronicles and bardic songs that preserved early memory; colonial historians and print culture that resituated local heroes in larger narratives; nationalist activists and school curricula that canonized moral exemplars; and contemporary political actors, filmmakers, and heritage projects that further amplified Pratap's symbolic reach. Drawing on historiography (Thapar; Chandra; Richards), studies of nationalism (Chatterjee; Bipan Chandra), and focused treatments of Pratap's modern reception (scholarly essays and cultural analyses), this paper combines textual and visual analysis to show how repeated, institutionalized representations made Pratap a usable past for nationalist sentiment. For foundational discussions of how historical figures are recast for modern identity politics, Partha Chatterjee's framework on the "inner" and "outer" domains of nationalism is especially useful; it helps explain why certain heroes become central to nationalist imagination while others recede.

1. Maharana Pratap in Early Memory: Bardic Lore and Local Chronicles

To trace Pratap's modern reincarnations, we must first understand the raw materials: the local and near-contemporary accounts that kept his memory alive. Rajput court chronicles and bardic ballads (charan and bhats) transmitted narratives of valour, loyalty, and sacrifice. These accounts emphasize ethical codes — honor, independence, and loyalty to lineage — and they tend to cast battles as contests of personal courage more than as ideological conflicts. The Battle of Haldighati (18 June 1576), in particular, occupies a central place in these stories, often narrated as a moral rather than strictly military event: Pratap's courage, his wounded return into the hills, and his faithful horse Chetak became motifs of heroic endurance.

Two points matter here. First, early sources are regionally embedded; they convey values important to Mewar's polity and social order (Rajput honor-systems, patronage networks, and the role of tribal allies such as the Bhils). Second, the medieval idiom did not speak in terms of nationhood; it articulated sovereignty,

dynastic prestige and the politics of honour. Thus, when later actors speak of "freedom" or "national resistance" associated with Pratap, they are translating medieval categories into modern political idioms. Contemporary scholarship emphasizes that this translation is not a neutral recovery but an act of reinterpretation.

2. Colonial Reframings: Print Culture, Romanticization and the Invention of Exemplars

Colonial print culture and the intellectual currents of the nineteenth century brought regional pasts into pan-Indian circulation. Two processes mattered especially: the collection and publication of local chronicles and ballads, and the colonial appetite for constructing typologies of "native" character. For British administrators and many Indian intellectuals, Rajput martial valour offered a convenient counter-image to colonial stereotypes of Indian "effeminacy." The Rajput past was reinterpreted not only by officials collecting folklore but by nationalist writers seeking ethically admirable ancestors.

Nationalist historians and leaders drew upon such materials, recasting Pratap as an exemplar against foreign domination. While early nationalist uses varied — some emphasized pluralist, moral leadership, others highlighted martial resistance — the common effect was to transmute a dynastic hero into a moral template for modern political life. This reutilization was not uncontested: some scholars warned against anachronistic readings, reminding readers that medieval regional conflict should not be mistaken for anti-imperial nationalism in the modern sense. Still, the political utility of a figure who "stood up" against a larger empire made Pratap attractive for nationalist mobilization. Research that compares these colonial and early-nationalist writings shows that Pratap's image was deliberately expanded beyond its regional contours during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

3. Nation-Building and the Canonization of Heroes: Textbooks, Rituals and Commemorations

After independence, the Indian state and civic organizations entered the arena of memory production. School curricula, state-sponsored festivals, and heritage institutions began to produce standardized narratives suitable for civic education. Textbooks, in particular, played a crucial role in canonizing certain heroes as pedagogical exemplars. Simplified biographies and moralistic chapters made selected episodes — Haldighati's sacrifice, Pratap's refusal to submit — accessible to young readers across regions, thereby embedding a collective impression of Pratap as a patriotic archetype.

Commemorative rituals (Pratap Jayanti) and local festivals (processions, re-enactments) performed the work of communal remembrance; they turned textual narratives into public, affective rituals. Statues and museums — especially in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries — physically anchored these narratives in civic space. Such institutionalization turned Pratap from a remembered ruler into a public symbol whose meaning could be invoked by politicians, educators, and cultural producers.

A 21st-century consequence of this process has been the normalizing of simplified heroic scripts. These scripts often omit complexities (alliances with tribal groups, the shifting politics of Rajput dynasties, and the agrarian realities of early modern statecraft). Yet in the pedagogy of nationhood, simplicity is not a bug but a feature: clear, morally legible exemplars are easier to transmit and politically useful.

4. Visual Media and Popular Culture: Film, Museum Spaces, and the Affective Turn

Visual culture — films, television serials, museums and digital media — has been decisive in turning Pratap into a mass-mediated icon. Filmic portrayals, from early regional cinema to contemporary dramatizations, translate bardic motifs into moving images: sweeping scenes of cavalry charges, the haunting figure of the wounded king, and the stoic fidelity of Chetak.

Museums and memorial complexes (for example, sites such as the Pratap Gaurav Kendra) curate multi-sensory experiences: tableaux, audio-visual narratives, and theatrical re-enactments that produce emotional attachments. Such sites often combine interpretive text with spectacle, thereby reinforcing a singular interpretation of Pratap's life.

This “affective” dimension matters for nationalism: emotions — pride, indignation, reverence — bind individuals to a larger symbolic community. When political speeches or textbooks evoke Pratap, they do so not only to teach facts but to elicit feeling. As several media scholars argue, affect plays a central role in making history live for publics; in that sense, film and museum practice are as important as print for the making of national icons.

5. Political Uses: Statues, Anniversaries and Electoral Symbolism

From the late twentieth century onwards, political actors have increasingly mobilized historical icons for electoral and symbolic purposes. Erecting statues, inaugurating memorials, renaming public spaces, and sponsoring commemorations provide visible proof of cultural rootedness. Maharana Pratap's image — associated with resistance and martial dignity — thus becomes a convenient resource for politicians aiming to signal cultural authenticity and nationalist credentials.

Recent debates over the meaning of medieval figures illustrate this instrumentalization. Different parties and interest groups deploy Pratap's image to perform particular political narratives — sometimes pluralist and inclusive, sometimes sectarian and exclusionary. The disputes over Haldighati's interpretation (is it a dynastic battle or a civilizational conflict?) show that historical memory is contested, and the answers often align with contemporary political agendas. Political scientists who study such “memory politics” warn that when historical actors are turned into univocal symbols, nuance is lost and communal tensions can be inflamed.

6. Case Studies

Case Study A: Statues and Monumental Projects

Monumental projects — large statues, museum complexes, and heritage parks — provide physical anchors for collective memory. A new statue or memorial is not merely commemorative; it is performative. Dedication ceremonies become occasions for political visibility; photographs circulate in media and social networks; the statue itself becomes a site of pilgrimage and ritual. In the case of Maharana Pratap, several recent projects have followed this pattern: inaugurations are public spectacles that tie political authority to cultural heritage. The “statue politics” frame explains why Pratap is particularly visible in public space: his symbolism maps easily onto narratives of resistance.

Case Study B: Textbook Narratives

A comparative content analysis of middle-school history textbooks from various Indian states reveals striking consistency: Pratap is presented as a heroic exemplar with simplified moral lessons. Such curricular choices matter not only for cognitive learning but for civic formation. When successive generations learn the same neat moral story, it becomes a default frame for thinking about the past. The historiographical cost is evident; complex social, economic and political contexts get flattened into moral tales.

Case Study C: Film and Digital Media

Films and digital platforms have extended Pratap’s reach beyond Rajasthan to national audiences. The dramatized Haldighati and biographical films reduce the longue durée of Mewar politics into emotional narratives of struggle and sacrifice. Digital diffusion — clips, songs, and cinematic stills — make these images instantly shareable, embedding them in popular consciousness.

7. Why Pratap Resonates: An Analytical Summary

Why does a regional ruler from sixteenth-century Mewar still resonate in twenty-first-century India? Several interlocking reasons help explain the phenomenon:

1. Moral Simplicity and Exemplarity.

Pratap’s refusal to submit provides a morally readable script: defiance equals dignity. For civic pedagogy and political rhetoric, such scripts are effective.

2. Affective Media.

Visual culture and performative rituals produce strong emotional bonds that textual narratives alone cannot.

3. Institutional Endorsement.

When state boards, public monuments, and civic organizations endorse a particular reading, the narrative attains institutional legitimacy.

4. Political Elasticity.

Pratap’s story is adaptable: it can be worked into caste pride, regional assertiveness, or pan-Indian nationalist sentiment depending on the interpreter’s goals.

5. Regional Roots, National Reach.

Although Pratap’s story is regionally rooted, the themes of sovereignty and honour translate easily to national idioms.

8. Critical Reflections and Ethical Implications

The making of Pratap into a nationalist icon carries ethical and civic implications. On the one hand, public memory can inspire civic virtue; on the other, it can occlude complexity and marginalize alternative voices (e.g., the role of Bhils and other non-elite actors). Scholars must balance respect for popular memory with critical inquiry. Educators and cultural institutions should aim to present multi-vocal histories that preserve affective resonance while acknowledging socio-political complexity.

Conclusion

The story of Maharana Pratap’s rise as a nationalist emblem is not a linear tale of discovery; it is a layered process of appropriation, institutionalization, and affective mediation. From local bardic lore to national textbooks, from cinematic spectacle to monumental statuary, Pratap’s life has been

repeatedly reimagined to serve different ends. To read Pratap only as a nationalist icon is to overlook the historical textures from which his modern meaning is fashioned. A responsible civic culture can both celebrate valour and insist on critical historical literacy. Recognizing the constructed nature of such symbols should not diminish their emotional power; rather, it allows for a more inclusive, nuanced public memory — one that enriches rather than flattens civic life.

References

- Chandra, S. (2004). *Medieval India: From Sultanat to the Mughals (1526–1748)* (Vol. 2). Har-Anand.
- Chatterjee, P. (1993). *The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories*. Princeton University Press.
- Eaton, R. M. (2000). *Temple desecration and Indo-Muslim states*. *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 11(3), 283–319. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jis/11.3.283>.
- Jairam, P. (2023). *Securing identity via history: Maharana Pratap and the myth of Rajput unity*. *Journal of Early Modern and Modern Studies*, 15(2). (Berghahn Journals).
- Majumdar, R. C. (ed.). (1960–1974). *The History and Culture of the Indian People* (Vols.). Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan.
- Nahar, S. (2011). *Maharana Pratap* (popular history/biography). [Various editions].
- Pratap Gaurav Kendra (Public heritage interpretation). (n.d.). *History of Pratap* (museum corpus). Retrieved from
- Richards, J. F. (1993). *The Mughal Empire* (The New Cambridge History of India). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511584060.019>.
- Thapar, R. (1998). *Cultural Pasts: Essays in Early Indian History*. Oxford University Press.
- Truschke, A. (2020). *On historical memory and reinterpretation* (essay on usages of medieval figures). *Samaj Journal / OpenEdition* (analysis of modern reinterpretations).
- Sherfudeen, C., Mahmood, K., & Hossain, M. J. (2024). *Cultural Nationalism or National Culture as Portrayed in Indian Popular Culture: The Case of Indian Visual Media*. International Peer Reviewed Journal: AWEJ for Translation & Literary Studies, 115–130.
- Singh, T. (2022). *The Untouchable Rajputs of Padmaavat and Beyond: A Case Study*. In *The Routledge Companion to Caste and Cinema in India* (pp. 340–350). Routledge India.
- Smith, A. (2013). *Nations and nationalism in a global era*. John Wiley & Sons.